



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,414	07/13/2001	James Clough	10010997-1	4732	
75	90 11/16/2005		EXAM	INER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SINGH, SATWANT K		
Intellectual Prop P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2626		
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400				
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/905,414	CLOUGH, JAMES				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Satwant K. Singh	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brie						
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.7</li> <li>4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7</li> </ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re 116 and 41.33(a)). 121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ejected claims.				
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		-				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	or the status of the stating arter	omy to botom or accura				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	^		·			
MARK V PRIMAR	WALLERSON AT EXAMINED	Sahvare	Sepa			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1, 8, and 14 have been amended to include:

- (a) "a user selecting a start time and a document to be printed"
- (b) "appointment calender of the user including time periods linked to meetings scheduled for the user during the time periods" and
- (c) "the selection of a printer which is proximate to the the meeting and printing the document with the selected printer".
- Claims 2, 3, 9, 10, 15 and 16 have been amended to delete portions of the claim stating" where the time periods correspond to appointments scheduled for a user from whom the print job is received, and" which would require further consideration and/or search.